

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification  
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System  
Fifth reporting cycle, 2014-2015 leg

# Report from Portugal as affected country Party



September 7, 2016

# Contents

## I. Performance indicators

### A. Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Indicator CONS-0-1

Indicator CONS-0-3

Indicator CONS-0-4

### B. Operational objective 2: Policy framework

Indicator CONS-0-5

Indicator CONS-0-7

### C. Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Indicator CONS-0-8

Indicator CONS-0-10

### D. Operational objective 4: Capacity-building

Indicator CONS-0-13

### E. Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Indicator CONS-0-14

Indicator CONS-0-16

Indicator CONS-0-18

## II. Progress Indicators

Strategic objective 1: living conditions of affected populations

Strategic objective 2: condition of affected ecosystems

Strategic objective 3: global benefits

Strategic objective 4: resource mobilization and partnership building

## III. Financial flows

Unified Financial Annex

## IV. Additional information

## V. Submission

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

**CONS-O-1**

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of desertification, land degradation and drought (DLDD) and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies

**Global target**

Percentage of population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

30 %

2018

**National contribution to the global target**

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

75

2011  
2013  
2015  
2017  
2019

**Voluntary national target**

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

100

Year  
2018

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

**Information events**

Year	Number of events	Total estimated participants
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014	32	1920
2015	53	3180
2016		
2017		
2018		

**Mass media articles and radio/television programmes about these events**

Year	Number	Estimated people reached
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

**Sources of information**

Observatório Nacional de Desertificação (& FCSH-UNL, Prof.ª M.ª José Roxo)

**Qualitative assessment**

Does your country have a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity in place?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., regarding the implementation of the Comprehensive Communication Strategy, activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification, etc.):

Several (c. 25%) referenced events in 2014 and 2015 are also included on national interventions for UNDDFAD

## Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

## CONS-O-3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes

## Global target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

National contribution to global target: number of CSOs and STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects

Year	Number of civil society organizations	Number of science and technology institutions
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014	78	22
2015	82	22
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information: name of the CSOs and STIs

NAPCD 2014 and Regional PCD:
Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, I. P.
Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera, I. P.
Departamento de Geografia e Planeamento Regional da Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas da Universidade Nova de Lisboa
Instituto Superior Técnico
Instituto Superior de Agronomia
Centro de Estudos de Geografia e Planeamento Regional da Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas da Universidade Nova de Lisboa
Centro de Recursos Naturais e do Ambiente do Instituto Superior Técnico
Centro de Ecologia Aplicada «Professor Baeta Neves do Instituto Superior de Agronomia da Universidade de Lisboa
Centro de Estudos Africanos do Instituto Universitário de Lisboa
Centro de Investigação de Montanha do Instituto Politécnico de Bragança
Sociedade Portuguesa de Ciências Florestais
Sociedade Portuguesa de Ecologia
Sociedade Portuguesa da Ciência do Solo
Associação Portuguesa de Meteorologia e Geofísica
Confederação Portuguesa das Associações de Defesa do Ambiente
Grupo de Estudos de Ordenamento do Território e Ambiente
Liga para a Protecção da Natureza
Quercus – Associação Nacional de Conservação da Natureza
WWF Mediterrâneo (Portugal)
Confederação dos Agricultores de Portugal
Confederação Nacional da Agricultura
Confederação Nacional das Cooperativas Agrícolas e do Crédito Agrícola de Portugal
Federação Nacional de Regantes de Portugal
Associação dos Jovens Agricultores de Portugal
Federação Nacional das Associações de Proprietários Florestais

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

União da Floresta Mediterrânica
Associação Florestal de Portugal
Fórum Florestal – Estrutura Federativa da Floresta Portuguesa
Federação Nacional das Cooperativas de Produtos Florestais
Minha Terra – Federação Portuguesa de Associações de Desenvolvimento Local
Plataforma Portuguesa das Organizações Não-Governamentais para o Desenvolvimento
CSOs (57#) & STIs (14#) of the Regional Centers to Combat Desertification

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of CSOs and STIs participating in the Convention process at national level, per biennium

%

10

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

Yes

No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes.

Permanent and open participatory environment at national level and project participation and operational engagement at the local level

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-4

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education

Global target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy

National contribution to the global target: number of DLDD-related initiatives implemented by CSOs and STIs in the field of education

Year	Number of CSOs initiatives	Number of STIs initiatives
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014	7	10
2015	11	15
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

Observatório Nacional de Desertificação

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs, per biennium	%	10
--	---	----

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

--

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector implemented by CSOs and STIs? Yes  No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education.

National and Local Programs for DLDD
--------------------------------------

**CONS-0-5** Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of national, subregional and regional action programmes (NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs) aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks

**Global target** Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities that have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy **≥ 80 %** **2014**

**National contribution to the global target** Does your country have a NAP aligned to the Strategy? Yes  No

**Qualitative assessment** Is your NAP being implemented? Yes  No

Please answer the following questions only if your country has aligned the NAP to the Strategy

**Qualitative assessment** Has your country’s NAP been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies? Yes  No   
If yes, please specify the relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies:

National Rural Programme 2020 and others european and national programs with financial support of the EU

Has your aligned NAP been formally adopted? Yes  No

**Qualitative assessment** Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., national circumstances affecting the NAP alignment and implementation process)

The NAPCD was adopted by Resolution of the Council of Ministers No. 78/2014, published in the Diário da República (Official Journal) I.ª serie of December 24, 2014.

**Sources of information** Diário da República I.ª series of December 24, 2014.

**CONS-O-7**

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels

**Global target**

Each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio conventions

2014

**National contribution to the global target**

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio conventions in the current reporting period?

Yes

Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions

No

Were there any operational mechanisms that facilitated joint implementation of the three Rio Conventions during the current reporting period?

Yes

Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions

No

Please answer the following questions if your country has such initiatives or mechanisms in place

**Qualitative assessment**

If your country has initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions, specify the type of joint initiative(s):

Evaluation of national plans and identification of gaps in synergies

Identification of national sectors and policies that could benefit from synergies and cooperation

Review of national policies to enhance cooperation and synergies

Enhancement of the institutional and scientific capacities and awareness of relevant stakeholders

Other (please describe below):

Local joint projects and programs promoted by OCS and STIs organisations

**Qualitative assessment**

If your country has mechanisms for joint implementation, specify the type of mechanism(s):

Regular meetings between focal points and focal point teams of the Rio conventions

A national coordinating committee for the implementation of the Rio conventions

National coordination on synergies in reporting under the Rio conventions

Other (please describe below):

The development / implementation of the new NAPCD 2014 with seminars and workshos on local joint projects and programs promoted by OCS and STI; The development of the news Mitigation and Adaptation Programmes fot the UNFCCC; The development of the new National Strategy for Biodiversity

Provide any complementary information as needed:

[Empty box for complementary information]

**Sources of information**

[Three empty boxes for sources of information]





## CONS-O-8

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

## Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD

≥ 60 %

2018

## National contribution to the global target

Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established in your country?

Yes No 

If yes, is the monitoring system regularly updated and functional?

Yes No 

Please answer the following questions if your country has not established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD by the end of the current reporting period

## Voluntary national target

When does your country plan to establish and support a national monitoring system for DLDD?

2016-2017 2018-2019 No Plan Yet 

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

## Sources of information

NAPCD 2014 updated and National Observatory for Desertification (NOD) developed after 1999

## Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a monitoring system partially covering DLDD?

Yes No 

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., major difficulties experienced, how the system is being supported, etc.):

## Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing system in your country, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary).

Name of the system:

NOD; Dismed and Desertwatch systems

Internet link:

<http://www.icnf.pt>; <http://www.desertwatch.info/>; <http://www.icnf.pt/portal/naturaclas/ei/unccd-PT/ond>

Estimated number of users per year:

Name of the system:

LUCINDA - Land Care in Desertification Affected Areas, from Science Towards Application

Internet link:

<http://geografia.fcsh.unl.pt/lucinda/>

Estimated number of users per year:

CONS-0-10

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Global target

Percentage of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs that have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

≥ 70 %

2018

Please answer the following questions only if your country has aligned the NAP:

National contribution to the global target

Does your aligned NAP include a knowledge-based identification and analysis of biophysical and socio-economic drivers of DLDD, and of their interaction?

Yes No 

Does your aligned NAP include a knowledge-based identification and analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change and biodiversity?

Yes No 

Sources of information

National Action Plan to Combat Desertification; National Observatory of Desertification

<http://dre.pt/application/file/65985917>

Qualitative assessment

Does your aligned NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?

Yes No 

If your aligned NAP assesses the barriers to sustainable land management, does it include recommendations for removing these barriers?

Yes No 

Does your country have data and information available to report on the following progress indicators, currently included within the CBD and UNFCCC reporting processes:

- Trends in abundance and distribution of selected species:

Yes No 

- Trends in carbon stock above and below ground:

Yes No 

If your country has identified nationally/locally relevant biophysical and socio-economic indicators for monitoring the aligned NAP, please list such indicators below:

see National Action Plan to Combat Desertification 2014

Population density (and indices of vitality and the elderly dependency)

Irrigated Areas (active/not used)

Hunting and fishing areas /Municipality/ year

Number of municipalities or parishes with attraction initiatives for qualified population

Traditional knowledge, innovations and sustainable practices collected and disseminated/Municipality

Types and amounts of payments by environmental services / Municipality /year

Financial and Fiscal Incentives per capita on affected areas and their flux applications

% of the population on affected areas benefited by tax incentives and / or financial support

Trends on Seasonal Precipitation

Condition of surface and underground water-bodies

Transition area from dry for irrigation per year

Nitrate levels in vulnerable areas

Areas of improved and spontaneous pastures / year

Direct sowing areas per year

Provide any complementary information as needed:

Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge


**CONS-O-13**

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of the National Capacity Self-Assessment (NCSA) or other methodologies and instruments

**Global target**

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects

≥ 90 %

**National contribution to the global target**

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of the NCSA?

Yes

No

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of other methodologies and instruments to assess national capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Provide any relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives implemented, or other complementary information as needed

Permanent work of the National Observatory of Desertification for the NAP; See the new National Action Plan to Combat Desertification

**Sources of information**

NAPCD 2014

**Qualitative assessment**

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Did your country receive technical and/or financial assistance to build capacities to combat DLDD?

Yes

No

**CONS-O-14**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the integrated financing strategy (IFS) devised by the Global Mechanism (GM) or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources to combat DLDD

**Global target**

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have developed integrated investment frameworks (IIFs)

≥ 50 %

2014

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have an integrated investment framework?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g. is the IIF based on the NAP; non-traditional and innovative financing targeted by the IIF; overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country; assistance received in the development or implementation of the IIF from the GM or other development partners, etc.)

National Rural Development Programmes and others

Sources of information

<http://www.gpp.pt/pdr2020/>

## CONS-O-16

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Rating of the bilateral assistance received for the implementation of the Convention and its Strategy during the reporting period

Adequacy of bilateral assistance received

Adequate

Fairly adequate

Not adequate

Timeliness of bilateral assistance received

Timely

Fairly timely

Not timely

Predictability of bilateral assistance received

Predictable

Fairly predictable

Not predictable

Provide any complementary information (e.g., additional information on other aspects beyond those mentioned above which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country, etc.)

Not applicable

Qualitative assessment

Did your country receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?

Yes

No

**CONS-O-18**

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

**Global target**

A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

National contribution to the target: estimated amount of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Has your country established economic and policy incentives intended to facilitate access to technology? Yes  No

Sources of information

National Rural Development Programmes 2020 and others  
 See <http://www.gpp.pt/pdr2020/>

Voluntary national target

Percentage increase of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by a given year %      Year  
10      2016

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, aspects in which there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer, etc.)



### Important Note

In decision 22/COP.11, the COP adopted a set of six progress indicators to measure progress towards strategic objectives (SOs) 1, 2 and 3. In decision 15/COP.12, the COP further decided, as a means to understanding the status of land degradation and the potential for land restoration, that reporting is required for the following three progress indicators: 'trends in land cover', 'trends in land productivity or functioning of the land' and 'trends in carbon stocks above and below ground', provided that countries have sufficient national official data/information to report or validate national estimates derived from global data sources and that reporting should be provided primarily from official national data.

Given the optional nature of this reporting cycle, the secretariat is not in a position to compile and make available to affected country Parties national estimates of the indicators as default data for validation.

Parties wishing to report on progress indicators and who have necessary data and capacity, are invited to report on any of the six progress indicators adopted in decision 22/COP.11 as well as on any other nationally relevant indicator useful to track progress towards SOs 1, 2 and 3. Reported data and information can be both quantitative and qualitative.

## S01 To improve the living conditions of affected populations

### S01-1 Trends in population living below the relative poverty line and/or income inequality in affected areas

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment

Based on PANCD 2014 references in 2011 the population living at risk of poverty in Portugal, i.e. with annual income per adult below the 4994 € poverty threshold € was 17.9% (ie about 1.8 million people), estimated value close to the previous 3 years. (See NAPC 2014 Report, pp 92-106)
---

### S01-2 Trends in access to safe drinking water in affected areas

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment

In 2009 the population coverage rate to public water supply systems was 97% for the Continent, 98% in the Azores RA and 100% in the Autonomous Region of Madeira, The higher coverage ratios includes the most susceptible areas to desertification (supply rates of 100%). (PANCD p 106-111)
---

## S01 Nationally relevant indicators for S01

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment

- Purchasing power per capita per municipality in 2009 and trends
- Municipal coverage ratio of public water supply in 2009

**S02** To improve the condition of affected ecosystems

**S02-1** Trends in land cover

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment

Land uses information shows a strong expression of forest land use, but the evolution of the use in the period 1995-2010 forest areas exhibit a decrease of 4.6% (10 mil ha / year). Mainly due to its conversion to 'scrubland and pasture' (85%). Significant also conversion to urban areas (28000ha)
--

**S02-2** Trends in land productivity or functioning of the land

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment

In 2000 / 2010 the land conditions are an overall 32.6% of the national territory in degraded situations, 60.3% are included in the fair to good conditions.
And only 1.5% of the total Continent processes occurs with a degraded trend in the quality of land,

**S02** Nationally relevant indicators for S02

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment

- Evolution of the main uses of the rural areas of the Continent (1874-2010)
- Land Quality / Degradation Index (Condition / Trends 2000/2010)

**S03** To generate global benefits through effective implementation of the UNCCD

**S03-1** Trends in carbon stocks above and below ground

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment


**S03-2** Trends in abundance and distribution of selected species

Quantitative/Qualitative  
assessment


S03

Nationally relevant indicators for S03

Quantitative/Qualitative  
assessment

- Modelling of total organic carbon in forest and agricultural soils of the Continent (1999/2005)
- SOC Total sinks in forest soils (1999/2005)
- Species richness in common wild birds between 2004 and 2009

**Important Note**

Decision 15/COP12, approved the refined progress indicator relating to strategic objective (SO) 4, namely 'Trend in public sector finance and enabling investments to implement Convention'.

15/COP12 further requested the GM and the secretariat to develop a template for data collection on the financial indicator under SO4 and include it in the common reporting template along with the land cover/productivity indicators under SOs 1, 2 and 3.

Given the optional nature of this reporting cycle, Parties wishing to report are invited to do so using the preliminary template provided below. Reported data and information can be both qualitative and quantitative.

**S04**

Trends in public sector finance and enabling investments to implement the Convention

**S04-1**

Trends in international bilateral and multilateral Official Development Assistance.

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment


**S04-2**

Trends in domestic public resources

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment


**S04-3**

Trends in number of co-financing partners

Quantitative/Qualitative assessment


Identification

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

Name of the activity  
funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative

## Reporting process-related issues

## Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No 

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the current UNCCD reporting process

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014	EUR - Euro	10000
2015	EUR - Euro	10000
2016		
2017		
2018		

## Human resources

Provide an estimate of the people involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process, and of the total number of months dedicated by these persons to the reporting process

Year	Number of people	Number of months
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014	45	1
2015	45	1
2016		
2017		
2018		

## Knowledge

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No 

## Coordination

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to report comprehensively and coherently?

Yes No 

## Participation and consultation

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

Yes No 

## Validation

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

Yes No 

## Subregional and regional processes

Did your country cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional action programme reports?

Yes No

## Accommodation of specific requests within decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties

## Reporting on specific COP requests: iterative process on indicators

Tick the boxes only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one or more performance indicator(s). When doing so, place the tick mark under the e-SMART criterion for which the difficulty occurred.

	Economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-0-1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-16	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Any other country-specific issues

Does your country have any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes No

## Submission form

Name of the reporting officer	Lucio Pires do Rosario
Date of completion	07/09/2016
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	lucio.rosario@icnf.pt
Name of the authorizing officer	Lucio Pires do Rosario
Date of authorization	07/09/2016
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	lucio.rosario@icnf.pt